

## RECEIVED

NOV 0 8 2000



ATENT

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS** Old Attorney Docket No. 066603.0123

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:	)	
Scott A. MOSKOWITZ et al.	)	Office of Petitions ATTN: Karen Creasy
Application No.: 09/545,589	)	
Filed: April 7, 2000	)	

Title: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR DIGITAL WATERMARKING (Title after Preliminary

Amendment)

## **BOX DAC**

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office Washington, D.C. 20231

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)

As stated in the Decision Refusing Status Under 37 CFR 1.47(a), mailed October 6, 2000, Applicant's petition was dismissed because the declaration that was submitted did not "set forth Mr. Cooperman's post office address as indicated in the petition." As explained in the petition, while Applicant possesses an address for Mr. Cooperman, it is unknown whether this address is the residence of Mr. Cooperman. Applicant can only state that Mr. Cooperman asserts that his "relevant address" is 251 E. 32nd Street; Suite 6D; New York, NY 10016-6304.

In accordance with the undersigned's conversation with Ms. Karen Creasy in the Office of Petitions, enclosed herewith is a revised declaration, which recites the "relevant" address as provided by Mr. Cooperman.

Applicant believes that this filing will complete Applicant's petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a).

Applicant also believes that no fees are due with this filing. In the event that Applicant is incorrect, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any amount due, because of this filing, to Deposit Account No. 50-1640.

Respectfully submitted,

Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison LLP

Dated: November 7, 2000

By:

Floyd B. Chapman

Registration No. 40,555

Brobeck, Phleger & Harrison LLP Suite 800, 1333 H Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

Tel: (202) 220-6000 Fax: (202) 220-5200